

extra copy

Libby OU-1

Comm - Michelle H, etc



FW: Letter of inputon OU-1

barbdesch, bigskylawyer, Bill Bischoff, Carol

DC Orr to: Campbell, clecours, Doug Roll, Sean Earle,
glena.young, jenniferkevin, jim.hammons, Peggy

03/16/2011 09:15 AM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Folks;

1258930 - R8 SDMS

I am passing along some input I received. People in Libby are starting to make the connection that if EPA gives the City conflicting information on the safety of our property, maybe their homes aren't as clean as they have been lead to believe by the "comfort" letters they have been given.

OU-1 will set precedent for all other Operable Units.

I would request that this be attached to our minutes as public comment.

DC

From: kiwi36@frontiernet.net

To: xcav8orr@hotmail.com

Subject: Letter of inputon OU-1

Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2011 11:09:16 -0700

3/10/11

DC ORR, Council Member,

I am unable to attend the meeting (3/10/11) as I am attending a Constitution class. However, I wish my letter be put on record.

I attended the City Council meeting Tuesday evening and was puzzled by the statement of the EPA representatives. There seems to be some level of deception, or incompetence on their part. Whether intentional or not, I do not know. I think the less governmental interference in our lives, the better. It is a matter of control.

If the EPA delivered a clean site document to the City in 2005, they do not need access because they said contamination at the export plant was removed; the property was considered "safe for all uses."

However, if it was not safe, and the document was issued, it seems their studies are somewhat faulty, and unreliable. This would leave many questions as to the veracity of all results being done within the community.

When such questions arise, answers must follow prior to further advancement of proceedings. Clarity with verification should be a "must."

The EPA apparently has not reduced exposures on OU-1. It seems the EPA is attempting to force the City Council to give uninformed consent by refusing to answer some very appropriate tough questions. This is not only inadvisable, but unethical. This only serves to increase the distrust of the EPA on the part of our community.

Mrs. Judy Matott

**180 Parmenter Ave.
Libby, MT.**